Montgomery Approves Budget With Tax Relief

\$54 Million Cut From Duncan Proposal

By CAMERON W. BARR Washington Post Staff Writer

The Montgomery County Council informally approved a 2006 budget yesterday that cuts \$54 million from the ambitious spending plans proposed by County Executive Douglas M. Duncan (D).

It means that for the first time in four years, the council will be in compliance with a charter provision that requires property tax revenue be limited to the previous year's total plus the rate of inflation and oth-

er minor factors.

The council reached the charter limit in part by reallocating \$32.5 million from the county's capital improvement budget, which is funded mainly by issuing bonds, to the \$3.05 billion tax-supported operating budget. The council also approved a series of reductions in proposed spending for schools, arts and police hiring.

The budget includes three kinds of tax relief: a 4-cent cut in the property tax rate, which is currently \$1.06 per \$100 assessed value; a \$116 tax credit for all homeowners; and additional relief for those with

low incomes.

Duncan, in a statement, was critical of the diversion from the capital budget, calling the council's action "bad public policy.... We can't operate the county on a credit card." Such budgetary maneuvers are common, but Duncan's aides charged that the council's decision this year to issue \$25 million in additional debt amounted to "credit card government."

Council President Tom Perez (D-Silver Spring) responded that the county is "borrowing at historically low interest rates.... I think that makes sense." Council staffers said Duncan, in his March 15 budget proposal, effectively endorsed an earlier council decision to raise the



Y MARVIN JOSEPH - THE WASHINGTON POS

Council President Tom Perez says reallocating funds "makes sense" because of low interest rates.

In Compliance

To comply with a charter amendment limiting property tax revenue, the Montgomery County Council had to cut \$54 million from County Executive Douglas M. Duncan's proposed 2006 budgets. To help reach the charter limit, the council:

Diverted \$32.5 million

ment budget, for tax relief.

Trimmed Duncan's operating budget by \$21.5 million, including reductions of \$8 million from schools, \$2 million

from arts programs and

from the capital improve-

\$1 million from police

hiring.

Identified a series of small budget adjustments, including unanticipated revenue, that saved \$4 million. It reduced the county's reserve by \$3 million.

ceiming by \$15 immon. resterday, the council raised it an additional \$6 million. The unanimous council vote,

which is to be formalized Thursday, brings to a close a contentious budg-

et season. In some ways it began last year, when voters rejected a ballot initiative that would have eliminated the council's ability to override the charter cap. Duncan and the council warned that essential services would suffer if voters took away the override option.

For the past three years, as a rising real estate market has driven up assessments and property taxes, the council has exercised the override. In December, however, a majority of the nine-member council declared

that it favored obeying the charter

limit this year. Even so, Duncan produced a budget that offered a 2-cent property tax rate cut, accompanied by a spending plan that was the first in a generation to fully fund the budget requests of the school board, the park and planning agency and Montgomery College. It would have required the council to override the limit for a fourth year in a row.

Because Duncan is planning to run for governor, council members privately derided the proposal as a "Christmas tree," designed to please everyone. After he presented his budget, Duncan and some council members proposed broadening tax relief for low-income residents.

increasingly appalled at rapidly rising assessments. "My mail ran 50 to 1 for some tax relief, and we listened," council member Nancy Floreen (D-At Large) said in a statement yesterday.

The council faced an electorate

The council got down to the char-

ter limit, notwithstanding campaign rhetoric that associated the ballot measure with doom and gloom.

"We knew that wasn't true, and we proved it," said council member Phil Andrews (D-Gaithersburg), an early proponent of fiscal restraint. Andrews said he and council mem-

bers Floreen, Michael Knapp (D-Upcounty), Marilyn Praisner (D-Eastern County) and Michael L. Subin (D-At Large) stayed true to the message that the council should cut taxes and spending to reach the limit.

The council squeezed \$8 million

from the schools budget, but nearly

half of that was the result of revising

downward next year's estimated en-

rollment. It cut about \$2 million from what Duncan wanted to spend on the arts and curtailed his plans to expand the police force, funding 34 new positions instead of 52. "Our gang initiative has been gutted," Duncan complained in his

statement. "We can - and must do better." Perez countered that it is "empirically inaccurate to say our gang ef-

forts are somehow hurt by the budg-

et," which funds a center for at-risk

youth, a violence prevention coordinator and a "task force sergeant" on the police force. He said that despite the cuts, this tax-supported operating

budget represents an increase of 7.7 percent over last year's. The new budget goes into effect July 1.

Perez's colleague Howard A. Denis (Potomac-Bethesda), the council's lone Republican, trotted out an oft-used phrase. He said the budget strikes a balance between "the services we love and the taxes we hate."